ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eng



Research Aquaculture-Review

## The Fish Microbiota: Research Progress and Potential Applications

Yinyin Luan <sup>a,#</sup>, Ming Li <sup>a,#</sup>, Wei Zhou <sup>a,#</sup>, Yuanyuan Yao <sup>b</sup>, Yalin Yang <sup>b</sup>, Zhen Zhang <sup>b</sup>, Einar Ringø <sup>c</sup>, Rolf Erik Olsen <sup>c</sup>, Jihong Liu Clarke <sup>d</sup>, Shouqi Xie <sup>e,f,g,h</sup>, Kangsen Mai <sup>i</sup>, Chao Ran <sup>b,\*</sup>, Zhigang Zhou <sup>a,\*</sup>

- a China-Norway Joint Lab on Fish Gastrointestinal Microbiota, Institute of Feed Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China
- b Biotechnology of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Institute of Feed Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China
- 6 Norway–China Joint Lab on Fish Gastrointestinal Microbiota, Institute of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim 7491, Norway
- <sup>d</sup> NIBIO, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, As 1431, Norway
- e State Key Laboratory of Freshwater Ecology and Biotechnology, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430072, China
- f University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- <sup>g</sup> The Innovative Academy of Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- <sup>h</sup> Hubei Engineering Research Center for Aquatic Animal Nutrition and Feed, Wuhan 430072, China
- <sup>1</sup>The Key Laboratory of Mariculture (Ministry of Education), Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 3 July 2022 Revised 20 November 2022 Accepted 26 December 2022 Available online 16 March 2023

Keywords:
Gut microbiota
Fish
Host-microbiota interaction
Aguaculture

## ABSTRACT

The gut microbiota plays an important role in host health and disease. Our understanding of the fish microbiota lags far behind our knowledge of that of humans and other mammals. Nevertheless, research has highlighted the importance of the microbiota in the health, performance, and various physiological functions of fish. The microbiota has been studied in various fish species, including model animals, economic fish, and wild fish species. The composition of the fish microbiota depends on host selection, diet, and environmental factors. The intestinal microbiota affects the nutritional metabolism, immunity, and disease resistance of the fish host, while the host regulates the intestinal microbiota in a reciprocal way through both immune and non-immune factors. Improved and novel gnotobiotic fish models have been developed, which are important for the mechanistic study of host–microbiota interactions in fish. In this review, we discuss recent progress in fish microbiota research. We describe various aspects of this research, including both studies on fish microbiota variations and fundamental research extending our knowledge of host–microbiota interaction in fish. Perspectives on how fish microbiota research may benefit fish health and industrial sustainability are also discussed.

© 2023 The AUTHORS. Published by Elsevier LTD on behalf of Chinese Academy of Engineering and Higher Education Press Limited Company. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

As in humans and other mammals, a large and complex community of microbes reside in the gut of fish. It has been generally considered that the gut bacterial community of fish differs significantly from those of other vertebrates (i.e., reptiles, birds, and mammals) [1–3]. In the human gut microbiota, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are predominant. Similar results have been observed in other mammals [4]. In contrast, although variation exists among different fish species, Proteobacteria is the dominant phylum in fish microbiota, with Fusobacteria constituting another abundant component in many cases [1,5]. Our understanding of the fish

microbiota lags behind our knowledge of that of humans and other mammals, but it has been improved with the application of gnotobiotic fish models. As a milestone of fish microbiota research, Rawls et al. [6] revealed evolutionally conserved responses to the gut microbiota by using gnotobiotic zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) models. Notably, some responses specific to zebrafish–microbiota interaction were also observed in this study, suggesting the specificity of host–microbiota interaction in fish. Overall, the specificity of microbiota composition and host–microbial interaction in fish highlights the importance of fish microbiota research.

Fish microbiota research has been conducted on various fish species, including model animals, economic fish, and some important wild fish species. Involvement of the microbiota in health, performance, and other physiological functions has been reported in many studies, but a causal relationship is lacking in most cases. By using zebrafish and some other important fish models such as

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: ranchao@caas.cn (C. Ran), zhouzhigang03@caas.cn (Z. Zhou).

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this study.

rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), the mechanisms of hostmicrobiota interaction in fish have been investigated, including the microbiota's regulation of fish nutrition, immunity, and other physiological functions, and the reciprocal regulation of the microbiota by host immune components and non-immune factors. In addition to theoretical value, fish microbiota research may benefit the aquaculture industry, which is currently facing problems such as high intensity, metabolic diseases, water quality, and antibiotics abuse. The industry is eager for novel, environmentally friendly, and green solutions for these problems, and some advances in fish microbiota research are giving rise to products that can be used in the industry, such as probiotics derived from the microbiota and corresponding prebiotics [7]. Several reviews on fish microbiota research have been carried out [8-11]. However, many updated studies have been published in the past few years. In this review, we focus on recent progress in fish microbiota research, including pioneering studies that provide novel ideas about the mechanism of host-microbiota interaction in fish. It is notable that there have been many studies on the microbiota of the skin, gill, and other mucosal surfaces of fish [12-14]. However, we focus on the intestinal microbiota in this review.

## 2. Factors influencing the gut microbiota

The structure of the fish gut microbiota can be affected by host genetics, developmental stage, feeding habits, diet, and the environment. The relative contributions of different factors to the formation of the fish microbiota are still unclear, but host selection has been suggested as the major factor. In this section, we summarize recent studies involving factors that influence the gut microbiota of fish.

## 2.1. Host selection

The gut microbial community is not a simple reflection of the environment but is generated by species-specific selection. As a milestone study on this subject. Roeselers et al. [15] revealed a striking similarity between the gut microbial composition of zebrafish reared in lab facilities and those caught in nature, with a shared core gut microbiota, which indicated that the host intestinal habitat selected for specific bacterial taxa. A core microbiota was also identified in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) across different dietary conditions and time series [16]. In the same study, one aspect of the mechanisms associated with microbial interactions and strain variability was revealed to be responsible for the maintenance of the core microbiota [16]. Nevertheless, the presence of a core microbiota suggests the importance of host selection in microbiota assembly. Vestrum et al. [17] studied the influence of different source bacterial communities on the gut microbiota assembly of Atlantic cod larvae (Gadus morhua). The results showed that host selection was the major determinant of bacterial community, as the fish microbiota was highly dissimilar from the environmental bacterial communities. Similarly, Li et al. [18] investigated the intestinal microbiota of different species of carp (grass carp, crucian carp, and bighead carp) reared in the same pond. The gut microbial communities of the different carp species were dominated by Fusobacterium, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes, but the abundance varied significantly among species, suggesting that the gut microbiota resulted from speciesspecific selective pressures. Daly et al. [19] investigated the intestinal microbiota of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and rainbow trout from different facilities, and found intra-species uniformity of the bacterial community for both fish species, despite distinct rearing environments, thereby demonstrating the importance of host selectivity. As another reflection of host selection, the intestinal microbiota of fish can be affected by life stages. A study of intestinal microbiota at key developmental time points of zebrafish revealed stage-specific signatures in the microbiota. Moreover, the difference between intestinal microbiota and the microbial community in the rearing water increased with age [20]. Li et al. [21] conducted a long-term study for one year of the gut microbiota of newly hatched heterotrophic silver carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*). The gut microbial community composition showed significant changes corresponding to host age, suggesting that some features associated with the development stages of the host contribute to the determination of the gut microbial community.

#### 2.2. Diet

Studies on the human diet and gut microbiota have found that the human gut microbiota is associated with different dietary patterns, foods, and nutrients [22]. Similar conclusions have been made in fish. Walburn et al. [23] found that the largest change in the microbiome of larval yellowtail kingfish (Seriola lalandi) occurred during a transition of the diet from live feeds to formulated pellets, suggesting that diet plays a key role in microbiome development and assembly. Liu et al. [11] analyzed the gut contents of eight species of wild fish with different feeding habits in the same lake and found distinct gut bacterial communities in carnivorous versus herbivorous fishes. Herbivorous fish were rich in cellulose-degrading bacteria such as Clostridium, Citrobacter, and Leptotrichia, while Cetobacterium and Halomonas were dominant in carnivores. Although this difference is likely to involve hostspecific selection, it also supports the influence of diet on the gut microbiota [11].

Fishmeal replacement is a key topic in aquaculture. A series of studies have shown the impact of fishmeal replacement by other protein sources on the intestinal microbiota of fish. Rimoldi et al. [24] investigated the effect of partial replacement of dietary fishmeal with a mix of poultry byproduct (PSB) meal and vegetable proteins on the intestinal microbiota of rainbow trout. Interestingly, the results showed that the ratio between vegetable and animal proteins plays an essential part in determining the microbiome profiles of rainbow trout: moreover. Firmicutes and Proteobacteria were particularly discriminatory for diet type, with plant ingredients favoring a higher Firmicutes:Proteobacteria ratio than animal proteins. Feeding with plant-based protein results in a significant reduction in the diversity of gut microbiota [25]. Li et al. [26] observed that feeding an insect diet significantly changed the gut microbiota composition of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.), with a significant increase in the relative abundance of *Bacillus* in the gut. In a study investigating the effect of insect meal and PSB meal as partial replacements for plant proteins on the microbiota of rainbow trout fed fishmeal-free formulations, Gaudioso et al. [27] found that insect meal inclusion induced a higher abundance of chitindegrading genera, while PSB increased the relative abundance of protein degraders, suggesting a specific enrichment of bacterial taxa with functions targeting the nutrient ingredients in the diet.

The effect of dietary lipids on the intestinal microbiota of fish has also been investigated. Guo et al. [28] found that vegetable oils with different fatty acid compositions had a significant effect on the abundance and diversity of gut microbes in juvenile golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*), and that fish fed with saturated fatty acids had greater gut microbial diversity. Dietary fatty acids can alter fish microbial community composition. The abundance of *Pseudomonas* was found to be elevated in fish fed a high saturated fatty acids diet, and fish oil supplementation limited the growth of *Pseudomonas* [29].

Similar to the impact of diet, starvation influences the intestinal microbiota of fish. Starvation of hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* $\subsetneq \times E$ . *lanceolatus* $\circlearrowleft$ ) caused a significant reduction in gut microbial abundance and diversity. After refeeding, the

abundance of *Vibrio* increased, and the abundance of *Brevibacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Alloprevotella* decreased [30]. Tran et al. [31] studied changes in the gut microbiota of grass carp during starvation. Starved fish had higher abundances of *Vibrio* and lower abundances of *Bacteroides*, *Fusobacterium*, *Coprococcus*, and *Citrobacter* compared with fed fish. Mekuchi et al. [32] investigated the microbiota and host metabolism of leopard coral grouper (*Plectropomus leopardus*). Proteobacteria was found to be the dominant phylum during fasting and Firmicutes during feeding. Furthermore, the microbial diversity under feeding was greater than that under fasting. These results suggest that starvation generally leads to a decrease in gut microbial diversity and impacts the microbiota structure.

## 2.3. Environmental factors

Host selection and diet play major roles in the formation of gut microbiota, but environmental factors also influence the gut microbial community [21,33]. The environmental factors influencing fish microbial communities are mainly water and sediments [17,34–36]. It is notable that the relative contribution of water and sediments to fish intestinal microbiota can differ among fish species. Bi et al. [37] studied the bacterial communities in water, sediment, and the intestines of three omnivorous fish. The results showed that the intestine microbial communities of Toxabramis houdemeri (T. houdemeri) and Hemiculter leucisculus (H. leucisculus) were more similar to the water microbiota, while the intestine microbiota of Oreochromis mossambicus (O. mossambicus) was more similar to the bacterial communities of the sediments, which was consistent with the benthic habit of O. mossambicus. In terms of the influence of water, the gut microbiota of fish is closely related to the microbial species, temperature, and salinity of the surrounding water [38–41].

The influence of water temperature on the fish intestinal microbiota is an important question, as fish can encounter high water temperature due to global warming or low temperature during overwintering. Zhou et al. [42] treated rainbow trout with higher temperature and found that the diversity of the intestinal microbiota decreased with an increase in temperature, while the abundance of Mycoplasma, Firmicutes, and Tenericutes increased significantly. Guerreiro et al. [43] investigated turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L.) juveniles at two rearing temperatures—namely, 15 and 20 °C—and found that the number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), the richness, and the diversity were higher at 20 °C. Lv et al. [44] evaluated the effect of cold stress on the intestinal microbiota of the large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) and found that the diversity and abundance of intestinal microbiota were altered, although the change was not obvious. Kokou et al. [45] found that cold stress reduced the diversity and richness of the intestinal microbiota of blue tilapia, as well as the microbial composition, with an enrichment of some orders of Proteobacteria phylum. Interestingly, the microbial response of cold-resistant and cold-sensitive fish were compared in this study, and the results showed that the microbiome of cold-resistant fish was more resilient to temperature changes, supporting the supposition that host selection is the major factor shaping the microbiota, while water temperature acts as an influencing environmental factor.

Lai et al. [41] evaluated the effects of three different salinity conditions on the gut microbiota of *Oryzias melastigma*: seawater (SW), seawater to 50% seawater transfer (SFW), and seawater-semi-seawater-freshwater transfer (FW). The results showed that the microbial diversity of the SFW and FW groups was higher. The dominant genus in the SW and SFW groups was *Vibrio*, whereas this population was replaced by *Pseudomonas* in FW group. Changes in bacterial communities in environments with different osmotic pressures suggest that gut bacteria may play a role in facilitating host acclimation. Rudi et al. [46] studied the effect of

a transition from fresh to salt water on the gut microbiota of farmed Atlantic salmon and found that the bacterial quantity increased by 100-fold in salt water, with enrichment of Firmicutes and decreased abundance of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria.

#### 2.4. Antibiotics and chemicals

In aquaculture, antibiotics are often used to prevent and treat bacterial diseases in fish [47,48]. Antibiotics have changed the way fish interact with exogenous or endogenous microorganisms, as well as with their gut microbial community [49–52]. However, the abuse of antibiotics can cause short-term or long-term damage to the microbial structure of the gut, such as a significant decrease in the abundance and diversity of the microbiota [53–55]. At the same time, the use of antibiotics may lead to an increase in the relative abundance of opportunistic pathogens, including *Osthomonas* and *Aeromonas*, creating a potential threat to fish health [56,57]. Sun et al. [58] established a reliable grass carp model of gut microbiota disruption through treatment with an antibiotic cocktail. The disruption featured a significant expansion of Proteobacteria and suppression of Fusobacteria.

In terms of chemicals, Bozzi et al. [59] found that the intestinal microbiota of Atlantic salmon treated with formalin showed more similarity to that of sick fish than healthy ones, indicating a negative effect of formalin treatment on fish microbiota. De La Torre Canny et al. [60] investigated the effect of the organotin compound tributyltin (TBT)—a chemical previously used in antifouling paints that accumulates in marine sediments—on the intestinal microbiota of zebrafish and observed that TBT altered the intestinal microbiota composition, with a reduced relative abundance of *Plesiomonas* bacteria.

## 3. Effects of the intestinal microbiota on the fish host

Commensal bacteria can regulate many key aspects of host functions involving feeding behavior, energy balance, nutrient metabolism, immune response, and more [61]. In this section, we focus on the roles of the fish commensal microbiota in host metabolism, immune responses, and other aspects of functions.

## 3.1. Nutritional metabolism

The gut microbiota has been regarded as an important factor in regulating nutrition and metabolism in fish. By predicting metagenome function, researchers found that the gut microbiota showed differential metabolic capacity in fish with different microbial compositions, which may contribute to the nutritional metabolism of the host [11,62]. Furthermore, gut microbiota composition had a close relationship with the activity of the intestinal digestive enzymes of fish, such as cellulase, amylase, trypsin, and lipase [62]. Similarly, when studying the gut microbiome of freshwater fish with different feeding habits, Liu et al. [11] found that the gut microbial composition of carnivorous fish species was more related to trypsin activity, while the gut microbiota of herbivorous fish was correlated with cellulase and amylase activities.

The gut microbiota plays a key role in regulating the energy metabolism of mammalian hosts. In fish, studies have shown similar results. Gut microbes promote host energy absorption and regulate the expression of genes related to energy and lipid metabolism in zebrafish [63,64]. By using a gnotobiotic zebrafish model, Semova et al. [64] revealed that the microbiota can stimulate fatty acids uptake and lipid droplets formation in the intestinal epithelium and liver. Guo et al. [65] found that the gut microbiota of zebrafish fed nucleotides can reduce the standard metabolic rate of fish, leading to higher energy gain and growth performance of these fish versus the control group. Zhang et al. [66] isolated a

Citrobacter strain from the intestine of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and found that the bacterial strain could increase the energy harvest of fish on a high-fat diet, which is a conserved feature of host-microbe interaction. De La Torre Canny et al. [60] observed reduced abundance of Plesiomonas bacteria in the gut microbiota of zebrafish exposed to the chemical obesogen TBT, and found that the application of a Plesiomonas strain reduced host adiposity in both conventional and Germ-free zebrafish. This finding underscores the influence of the microbiota and commensal bacterial strain(s) on the energy balance and metabolic health of fish.

Compared with mammalian studies, the mechanisms underlying the microbiota's regulation of energy metabolism are less understood in fish. Nevertheless, it is likely that some conserved mechanisms exist between fish and mammals, such as the mechanisms involving short chain fatty acid (SCFAs) and their receptors, which await further investigation in fish hosts.

Bile acids, as the main component of bile, play an essential role in glucose and lipid metabolism, intestinal homeostasis, and liver and intestinal health. The gut microbiota has been shown to regulate the metabolism of bile acids, which in turn affects the digestion and absorption of fat. Wen et al. [67] revealed that zebrafish bile salts mainly consist of a C27 bile alcohol ( $5\alpha$ -cyprinol sulfate,  $5\alpha$ CS) and a C24 bile acid (taurocholic acid, TCA). Both  $5\alpha$ CS and TCA are further modified by the intestinal microbiota of zebrafish, which can augment Fxr activity. Notably, an *Acinetobacter* strain from the zebrafish microbiota was identified as being capable of deconjugating TCA.

The ability of carbohydrate utilization in fish is low compared with that in mammals. It has been suggested that the gut microbiota of herbivorous fish can convert dietary carbohydrates into SCFAs, which play an important role in the nutrition and health of the host [68–70]. Recently, studies have provided more evidence of the gut microbiota's regulation of carbohydrate utilization in fish. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SS1 isolated from the intestine of Nile tilapia was found to improve the metabolic phenotypes of fish fed a high-carbohydrate diet, leading to reduced fasting glucose and lipid deposition. Interestingly, SS1 supplementation increased the abundance of acetate-producing bacteria, which was implicated as the reason for the beneficial effects of SS1 [71]. Similarly, Wang et al. [7] found that Cetobacterium somerae (C. somerae), which is a common commensal bacterial taxon in fish gut, promoted glucose homeostasis in zebrafish. Further mechanistic investigation revealed that the beneficial effect of Cetobacterium was mediated by acetate via parasympathetic activation.

The feed conversion rate of farmed fish determines the growth and yield of fish to some extent and is directly linked to the economic effect. The intestinal microbiota plays an important role in the feed conversion of fish. Studies on the effect of gut microbes on feed conversion have mainly focused on monogastric animals, and related studies are still lacking in fish [72–73]. Probiotics, such as *Lactobacillus acidophilus* [74], *Bacillus coagulans* [75], and *Acinetobacter* [76], can improve fish feed conversion, but the mechanisms involved are not yet known. A study by Dvergedal et al. [77] identified significant associations between the abundance of three microbial OTUs and the metabolic phenotypes of Atlantic salmon involving feed efficiency and carbon metabolism. Bozzi et al. [59] also found that *Mycoplasma* showed a positive correlation with the health and body weight of Atlantic salmon; however, the underlying mechanism requires further investigation.

## 3.2. Immune regulation

Commensal microbes are essential in maintaining the development and maturation of the immune system. The colonization of microbiota in Germ-free zebrafish was found to induce the intesti-

nal expression of genes related to innate immune responses, including serum amyloid A1 (Saa1), myeloperoxidase (MPO), and complement component 3 (C3), indicating the function of the microbiota in priming the innate immunity of fish [6]. The nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB) pathway plays an important role in innate and adaptive immune responses. Microbiota colonization was found to activate NF-κB in the intestinal and extra-intestinal tissues of Germ-free zebrafish, supporting the role of the microbiota in immune regulation [78]. More recently, by using the same Germfree zebrafish and microbiota colonization model, Koch et al. [79] found that the microbiota affected intestinal leukocyte populations, with a decrease in macrophages but a rise in neutrophils. Furthermore, the researchers demonstrated that the microbiota-induced intestinal immune regulation was dependent on TLR2 and Myd88 [79]. Notably, zebrafish do not have adaptive immune responses until four weeks postfertilization, and the microbiota's regulation of adaptive immunity cannot be studied by using gnotobiotic larval zebrafish. Further studies exploring long-term gnotobiotic zebrafish or other fish models are warranted.

Immunity regulation can be mediated by bacterial components or microbial metabolites. Although related knowledge in fish is far behind that in mammals, recent studies have yielded interesting results in this area. Rolig et al. [80] studied the interaction of a commensal bacterium Aeromonas veronii and a zebrafish host, and found that the bacterium can secrete an immunomodulatory protein, AimA, which can ameliorate inflammation in the gut of zebrafish. In terms of microbial metabolites, Shan et al. [81] revealed that microbiota-derived butyrate enhanced the expression of Interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), which increased the percentage of intestinal neutrophils and enhanced resistance against pathogenic infection in zebrafish. Interestingly, the initial purpose of this study was to investigate the mechanism of the anti-bacterial effect of a commensal probiotic bacterium, Pediococcus pentosaceus, isolated from fish gut. The probiotic strain modulated the microbiota with an enrichment of SCFA-producing bacteria. Thus, this study provides an example of microbiota modulation leading to enhanced immune stimulation. Effects of the intestinal microbiota on fish nutrition and immunity are shown in Table 1 [6,7,59,60,62-67,71,77-81].

## 3.3. Endocrinology, the nervous system, and fish development

The microbiota also affects fish development, the nervous system, and endocrinology. Phelps et al. [82] found that early colonization of the intestinal microbiota or individual bacterial species *Aeromonas veronii* or *Vibrio cholerae* in Germ-free zebrafish was required for normal neurobehavioral development, while exposure to heat-inactivated bacteria or the microbial-associated molecular patterns pam3CSK4 or Poly(I:C) was not adequate to block hyperactivity in Germ-free larvae, emphasizing that the gut microbiota is required for normal neurobehavioral development. A study by Casadei et al. [83] reported that the commensal microbial colonization of Germ-free zebrafish resulted in a widespread transcriptional response in the olfactory organ, and that this effect may be associated with the increased expression of repressor element-1 (RE1) silencing transcription factor (REST), which is a transcriptional factor related to neuronal development and differentiation.

The gut microbiota can also regulate the expression of proliferation-related genes in fish intestinal epithelial cells and can govern tissue maturation and organ function during fish development [60]. In addition, control of the host endocrine system by commensal microbes has been reported. Gioacchini et al. [84] found that the use of probiotics (*Lactobacillus* preparations) in tilapia provoked gastrointestinal microbial changes that coincided with the activation of the endocrine system, including increased expression of the genes of the insulin-like growth factors (*igfs*) system.

**Table 1** Effects of the intestinal microbiota on fish nutrition and immunity.

Microbial taxa	Phenotype/function	Species	Reference
Nutritional metabolism			
Microbiota	Relates to the activity of intestinal digestive enzymes	Black Amur bream (Megalobrama terminalis)	[62]
Microbiota	Regulates genes related to lipid metabolism, such as <i>Apoa4</i> , <i>Hsl</i> , <i>Cox15</i> , <i>Slc2a1a</i> , and <i>Lss</i>	Zebrafish	[63]
Microbiota	Stimulates fatty acids uptake and lipid droplet formation in the intestinal epithelium and liver	Zebrafish	[64]
Microbiota in fish fed a nucleotide- supplemented diet	Reduces the standard metabolic rate and increases energy gain	Zebrafish	[65]
Citrobacter sp. S1	Increases energy harvest	Nile tilapia	[66]
Plesiomonas sp. ZOR0011	Reduces host adiposity	Zebrafish	[60]
Microbiota	Modifies primary bile salts including $5\alpha CS$ and $TCA$	Zebrafish	[67]
Acinetobacter sp. ZOR0008	Deconjugates TCA	Zebrafish	[67]
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SS1	Improves metabolic phenotypes of fish fed a high-carbohydrate diet	Nile tilapia	[71]
C. somerae	Promotes glucose homeostasis	Zebrafish	[7]
Pseudoalteromonas sp. and Bradyrhizobium sp.	Associated with feed efficiency and carbon metabolism in adipose tissue	Atlantic salmon	[77]
Mycoplasma sp.	Positively correlates with fish weight	Atlantic salmon	[59]
Immune regulation			
Microbiota	Stimulates the expression of Saa1, MPO, C3, etc.	Zebrafish	[6]
Microbiota	Activates NF-κB signaling	Zebrafish	[78]
Microbiota	Regulates intestinal leukocyte status through TLR2 and Myd88	Zebrafish	[79]
Aeromonas veronii Hm21	Secretes an immunomodulatory protein (AimA)	Zebrafish	[80]
Microbiota associated with probiotic	Microbiota-derived bytyrate enhances the intestinal expression of IL-1β and	Zebrafish	[81]
Pediococcus pentosaceus treatment	subsequently increases the percentage of neutrophils and pathogen resistance		

## 4. Host regulation of the intestinal microbiota

Many studies have demonstrated the impact of the microbiota on the host. In contrast, the reciprocal question regarding host-microbiota interaction—that is, how the host regulates the intestinal microbiota—has been much less investigated. Host factors, including immune components and non-immune factors, underpin the homeostasis of the intestinal microbiota and contribute a great deal to microbiome assembly. Knowledge in this area can provide not only insights into the mechanism of host–microbiota interaction but also hints on novel strategies for gut microbiota regulation via targeting host factors.

The innate immune system is the primary defense of the host against pathogens, and immune cells (i.e., macrophages, neutrophils, phagocytes, dentritic cell (DC), natural killer (NK) cells, etc.) and immune factors play essential roles in the maintenance of innate immune homeostasis. Earley et al. [85] studied the effect of intestinal macrophages on the microbiota by using a macrophage-deficient interferon regulatory factor *irf8* zebrafish mutant. Their results showed that the intestinal microbiota was significantly altered in the mutant compared with wild-type zebrafish, and that this destabilization of the intestinal commensal microbiota was associated with a reduction in gut *C1q* genes expression, while macrophage rescue of *irf8* mutants recovered commensal microbes.

Adaptive immunity, which involves immune responses mediated by T and B lymphocytes, also plays a role in maintaining homeostasis of the gut microbiota [86]. Although related knowledge in fish have been insufficient, some studies have demonstrated regulation of the fish gut microbiota via adaptive immunity. Okamura et al. [87] knocked out IL-17A/F1, a hallmark inflammatory cytokine produced by Th17 cells, in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). The results showed that the intestinal microbiota of IL-17A/F1-KO fish differed from that of the wild type, with significantly higher abundance of *Verrucomicrobia* and *Planctomycetes*; moreover, *Plesiomonas shigelloides* was the dominant species in the mutant. Studies have also demonstrated the interac-

tion of mucosal secretory immunoglobulins (sIgs) with commensal bacteria in the gut of rainbow trout, indicating an evolutionally conserved role of sIgs in microbial homeostasis. Xu et al. [88] depleted the mucosal IgT of rainbow trout and found that IgT deficiency leads to gut dysbiosis, as evidenced by the loss of sIgT-encapsulated beneficial bacteria and the expansion of pathobionts. Perdiguero et al. [89] revealed that secretory IgD was capable of interacting with the intestinal commensal microbiota of rainbow trout, which in turn stimulated the transcription of IgD genes in intestinal B cells, suggesting that secretory IgD may play an evolutionarily conserved role in mucosal homeostasis.

Apart from the immune system, other host-derived factors may also regulate the colonization and homeostasis of commensal bacteria. A pioneering study in zebrafish showed that the commensal bacterium *Aeromonas veronii* modulated motility by sensing host-released amino acid signals through proline diguanylate cyclase enzyme (SpdE). The sensing of free proline by SpdE resulted in lower production of intracellular cyclic diguanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP), a second messenger controlling bacterial motility, leading to increased bacterial motility and colonization in zebrafish. This study demonstrated for the first time how bacteria sense host-emitted cues, which trigger immigration into hosts [90]. Table 2 [85,87–90] lists the host regulation of the intestinal microbiota in fish.

# 5. Interactions between the intestinal microbiota and pathogens

Studies have shown that the intestinal microbiota is the third player in the interaction between the host and pathogens. This finding brings novel insights into a triangular relationship among the host, microbiota, and pathogens. The intestinal microbiota hinders pathogens through direct inhibition or nutrient competition, colonization resistance, or interaction with host factors. Pathogen infection can also impact the intestinal microbiota. Studies on microbiota-mediated pathogen inhibition via the stimulation of host factors in fish are rare. In this review, we discuss two aspects

 Table 2

 Host regulation of the intestinal microbiota in fish.

Host factors	Phenotype	Species	Reference
Intestinal macrophages	irf8 mutants with deficient intestinal macrophages have disrupted gut microbiota and the outgrowth of a rare bacterial species	Zebrafish	[85]
IL-17A/F1	IL-17A/F1-knockout fish show different intestinal microbiota, with significantly higher abundance of Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes, and a drastic enrichment of Plesiomonas shigelloides	Japanese medaka	[87]
sIgT	Depletion of slgT induces gut dysbiosis, with the loss of slgT-encapsulated beneficial bacteria and the expansion of pathobionts	Rainbow trout	[88]
Secreted IgD	Secreted IgD binds to gut commensal bacteria and establishes a mutualistic relationship with commensals	Rainbow trout	[89]
Host-released amino acid signals	Increases the motility and host colonization of commensal Aeromonas veronii	Zebrafish	[90]

of the triangular interactions among the host, microbiota, and pathogens: direct inhibition of pathogens by the microbiota and the impact of pathogen infection on the intestinal microbiota in fish.

## 5.1. Direct inhibition and nutrient competition

Many studies have reported the isolation of probiotic bacteria exhibiting inhibitory activity against aquatic pathogens [91,92]. Similarly, commensal bacteria can produce antagonistic substances to inhibit pathogens [93]. From marine fish, Sequeiros et al. [94] isolated Lactococcus lactis TW34, a nisin Z producer (bacteriocin nisin Z) that can inhibit the growth of Lactococcus garvieae. Enterobacter sp. C6-6 has been isolated from trout intestines and was shown to inhibit *Flavobacterium* psychrophilum both in vitro and in a challenging study of rainbow trout. Further investigation showed that the inhibitory effect was mediated by a small lipoprotein entericidin [95]. Notably, in many studies, the antagonistic effect of commensal bacteria against fish pathogens has been investigated in vitro, while the contribution of inhibitory compounds in vivo has rarely been confirmed. By constructing an isogenic mutant deficient in the production of the entericidin, Schubiger et al.'s study [95] was the first to demonstrate the contribution of inhibitory substances to the protection of fish against pathogens. Microbiota components can also inhibit pathogens by means of nutrient competition. For example, Smith and Davey [96] isolated a strain of Pseudomonas fluorescens strain F19/3 from salmon, which inhibited Aeromonas salmonicida by competing for free iron.

#### 5.2. Colonization resistance

Colonization resistance is the ability of the microbiota to resist the invasion of pathogens. Commensal bacteria can compete with pathogens directly through their ecological occupation to protect the host from infection [97]. Germ-free zebrafish were found to be more susceptible to Flavobacterium columnare (F. columnare) infection than their conventional counterparts, indicating that the microbiota conferred resistance against infection. Further investigation showed that ten culturable bacterial species were sufficient to protect zebrafish from infection. Among them, the bacterium Chryseobacterium massiliae can provide protection individually, while an assembly of the other nine species-each of which had no individual protection activity-conferred a community-level resistance [98]. Similarly, conventional rainbow trout were found to be more resistant to F. columnare infection than their Germ-free counterparts. Recolonization of 11 culturable bacterial strains recapitulated the protection of the microbiota, and this protection was attributable to one Flavobacterium strain [99].

## 5.3. Effect of pathogen infection on the fish gut microbiota

Disease not only marks the overall loss of gut microbiota diversity but also correlates with changes in fish immune status and the

microbiota barrier system [14]. The intestinal hindgut microbiota was found to differ between healthy Atlantic salmon and unhealthy ones with Aeromonas salmonicida infection, especially at the taxonomic levels of family and genus [100]. Dos Santos Silva et al. [101] infected Nile tilapia with Streptococcus agalactiae and found that bacterial infection reduced gut microbiota variability. Similarly, Zhang et al. [102] infected zebrafish with Streptococcus agalactiae and found that the composition of the intestinal microbiota was partially affected by bacterial infection, with enrichment of potentially harmful bacteria such as Aeromonas veronii in the intestine. Zhou et al. [103] analyzed the intestinal microbiota of grass carp after Aeromonas hydrophila infection. The results showed fluctuations of the intestinal microbiota at different time points after infection, with the greatest fluctuation occurring in Proteobacteria. In a study of a spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV) infection model of common carp, after viral infection, the abundance of Proteobacteria in the gut increased, whereas the abundance of Fusobacteria decreased. Compared with normal fish, the abundance of dominant commensal microbiota was found to be decreased in SVCV-infected fish, while the abundance of the opportunistic pathogen increased, which increased the possibility of secondary infection of carp [3].

The interaction among parasites, host, and microbiota is increasingly being understood as an important determinant of disease progression [104]. Perturbation of the skin mucosal microbiome by salmon lice infection has been observed in Atlantic salmon [104]. Moreover, Vasemägi et al. [105] studied the effect of myxozoan *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae* infection on the gut microbiota of wild brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). The results showed that the parasite load was positively related to the richness of the gut microbiome, suggesting that brown trout with large parasite burdens were prone to lose microbial homeostasis [105].

## 6. Gnotobiotic fish models

Germ-free animals are important tools for the study of the intestinal microbiota. Gnotobiotic zebrafish are a classic model used to study host-microbe interaction in fish and vertebrates [24,106–110]. As an improvement of this model, De La Torre Canny et al. [60] established a long-term sterile zebrafish-rearing method (raised to 35 days post fertilization using sterile Artemia and Tetrahymena as feed). This long-term sterile zebrafish model can make up for the drawbacks of the previous model and will be very useful for many aspects of host-microbe interaction research with a zebrafish model.

Gnotobiotic models of economical fish species have also been reported, which have more relevance for fish farming. Pérez-Pascual et al. [99] established a Germ-free and gnotobiotic rainbow trout model, which can be raised in sterile conditions with no growth difference with control fish 35 days post-hatching. Situmorang et al. [111] developed a gnotobiotic Nile tilapia larvae model via axenic Artemia feeding, providing a research tool to investigate the effects and modes of action of probiotics under

controlled conditions. Dierckens et al. [112] used gnotobiotic sea bass larvae to study the three opportunistic pathogens *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Listonella anguillarum* serovar O1 and O2a, and showed that only *Listonella anguillarum* serovar O2a caused a significant increase in juvenile mortality.

# 7. Development and application of green inputs in aquaculture based on fish microbiota research

Our understanding of the key role played by the microbiota in fish health and diseases opens up new possibilities for developing solutions to regulate intestinal microbiota composition, with the aim of improving the growth, metabolism, immunity, and disease resistance of fish. The ban on antibiotics in animal feed creates an urgent need to develop novel green inputs as alternatives. Research on the fish microbiota may give rise to green inputs as antibiotic alternatives that will be critical for the sustainable development of the aquaculture industry.

## 7.1. Probiotics and prebiotics

The health of the host can be improved effectively by improving the abundance of beneficial bacteria in the gut, which may include the direct addition of probiotic bacteria and the addition of prebiotics that can improve the abundance of beneficial bacteria in the gut. Traditional probiotics such as lactic acid bacteria and yeasts isolated from terrestrial animals or other sources are not the dominant indigenous microbes in the digestive tract of fish, and their use in fish may risk causing intestinal injury or microbial dysbiosis in some cases [113,114]. Identifying commensal beneficial bacteria in fish is of great value for the development of novel probiotics for aquaculture. Our work has demonstrated that C. somerae, which is an abundant bacterium in many fish species, can be used as a novel probiotic product with multiple beneficial effects [7,115–117]. The isolation of commensal bacteria belonging to traditional probiotic species has also been reported in some studies [71,82]. Lactococcus lactis (L. lactis) L19 and Enterococcus faecalis W24 isolated from the Channa argus intestine increase the activity of digestive enzymes in the digestive tract, and L. lactis L19 promotes an increase in intestinal beneficial bacteria and decreases the abundance of pathogens. Bacillus cereus KAF124 and Bacillus thuringiensis KAF135 isolated from the marine fish Moolgarda seheli can effectively improve the survival of Moolgarda seheli after Aeromonas hydrophila infection [118].

Prebiotics are feed additives that can stimulate the growth of probiotics in the host [119–121]. Common aquatic prebiotics include inulin, fructo-oligosaccharide, and mannan oligosaccharides [120–122]. Dietary supplementation of 0.4%–0.6% chitosan oligosaccharide can enhance immunity and resistance to pathogens in grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus*) [123]. Galactomannan oligosaccharides (GMOS) increased the abundance of Bacteroidales, Lactobacillales, and Clostridiales in European sea bass when supplemented as feed additives [124]. As novel probiotics are identified in fish, the study of prebiotics targeting the growth of new probiotic species is warranted. For example, our work has demonstrated that mannose promotes the enrichment of *C. somerae* in fish, and a dietary supplementation of mannose exerts similar beneficial effects as *C. somerae* [7].

## 7.2. Other potential green inputs

A reasonable deduction is that it is possible to improve fish health by reducing harmful bacterial species in the fish gut microbiome. However, there is still a gap in research on the identification of harmful components in the fish microbiota. Although

some opportunistic pathogens are considered to be harmful species in the fish microbiome, knowledge of their causal effects is still lacking. Nevertheless, strategies that target pathogens can be used for this purpose after identifying the harmful pathogens in the microbiome, such as quorum quenching techniques [125–127]. As mentioned above, it is also possible to regulate the intestinal microbiota by targeting host factors. Our previous work showed that extracellular polysaccharides of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* can activate intestinal hypoxia-inducible factor- $1\alpha$  (HIF- $1\alpha$ ), increase the expression of antimicrobial peptides, and thus maintain microbial homeostasis in zebrafish [128]. Therefore, intestinal HIF- $1\alpha$  can be regarded as a target for microbial regulation, and the identification of other host factors will give rise to novel targets for the development of green inputs that improve microbial composition and homeostasis in fish.

## 8. Perspectives

Studies involving the intestinal microbiota of fish have dramatically increased in the past few years. However, many of these studies evaluate the microbial composition by means of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequencing and present the results in a descriptive way. Studies on the functions of the intestinal microbiota have increased in recent years but are still relatively rare compared with the overall number of published papers involving the fish microbiota. The current gnotobiotic fish models await further improvement in order to be better used as a tool for research on the mechanisms of host–microbiota interaction in fish. Furthermore, to establish causal relationships about the bacterial species responsible for the function(s) of the microbiota, culturing the fish microbiota is another important subject for further investigation, and culturomic approaches that have been used in humans and other mammals should be adopted.

The gut microbiota could be used as an indicator for evaluating fish health. Furthermore, knowledge about the features of gut microbiome homeostasis and the health of fish can provide guides for how to regulate the intestinal microbiota in order to improve fish health and performance. We found that a higher/lower Fusobacteria: Proteobacteria ratio reflected the homeostasis/dysbiosis status of zebrafish microbiota, which corresponded to liver health [121]. In addition, our ongoing study on economic fish suggests that a higher (Fusobacteria + Firmicutes + Bacteroidetes):Proteobacteria ratio is correlated with fish health (unpublished data). However, a large research gap still remains in the current understanding of the structural features and signatures of gut microbiome homeostasis, health, and dysbiosis in fish. For this purpose, more comprehensive investigations about the ecological interactions in the fish microbiome should be conducted. The standardization of fish microbial profiles should also be considered [129], as this would minimize confounding factors and benefit the deduction of basic rules in terms of gut microbiome homeostasis and dysbiosis.

Research on the fish gut microbiota will give rise to more green inputs for aquaculture. Novel probiotics will be identified with the culturing of fish microbiota. Postbiotics and paraprobiotics derived from probiotics will also be developed [94,110]. Furthermore, the study of compounds and natural ingredients targeting beneficial and harmful bacteria or host factors that benefit microbial homeostasis will be a research direction that will lead to the development of green inputs for aquaculture. Overall, fish microbiota research can not only provide insights into the mechanism of host–microbiota interaction but also give rise to novel tools to promote fish health and the sustainability of the aquaculture industry.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31925038 and 32122088).

#### Compliance with ethics guidelines

Yinyin Luan, Ming Li, Wei Zhou, Yuanyuan Yao, Yalin Yang, Zhen Zhang, Einar Ringø, Rolf Erik Olsen, Jihong Liu Clarke, Shouqi Xie, Kangsen Mai, Chao Ran, and Zhigang Zhou declare that they have no conflict of interest or financial conflicts to disclose.

#### References

- [1] Kim PS, Shin NR, Lee JB, Kim MS, Whon TW, Hyun DW, et al. Host habitat is the major determinant of the gut microbiome of fish. Microbiome 2021;9 (1):166.
- [2] Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Scheifler M, Sanchez-Brosseau S, Magnanou E, West N, Suzuki M, et al. Host species and body site explain the variation in the microbiota associated to wild sympatric Mediterranean teleost fishes. Microb Ecol 2020;80(1):212–22.
- [3] Meng K, Ding L, Wu S, Wu Z, Cheng G, Zhai X, et al. Interactions between commensal microbiota and mucosal immunity in teleost fish during viral infection with SVCV. Front Immunol 2021;12:654758.
- [4] Ramesh A, Bailey ES, Ahyong V, Langelier C, Phelps M, Neff N, et al. Metagenomic characterization of swine slurry in a North American swine farm operation. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):16994.
- [5] Kostic AD, Howitt MR, Garrett WS. Exploring host-microbiota interactions in animal models and humans. Gene Dev 2013;27(7):701–18.
- [6] Rawls JF, Samuel BS, Gordon JI. Gnotobiotic zebrafish reveal evolutionarily conserved responses to the gut microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101 (13):4596–601.
- [7] Wang A, Zhang Z, Ding Q, Yang Y, Bindelle J, Ran C, et al. Intestinal Cetobacterium and acetate modify glucose homeostasis via parasympathetic activation in zebrafish. Gut Microbes 2021;13(1):1–15.
- [8] Llewellyn MS, Boutin S, Hoseinifar SH, Derome N. Teleost microbiomes: the state of the art in their characterization, manipulation and importance in aquaculture and fisheries. Front Microbiol 2014;5:207.
- [9] Wang AR, Ran C, Ringø E, Zhou ZG. Progress in fish gastrointestinal microbiota research. Rev Aquacult 2018;10(3):626–40.
- [10] Ringø E, Zhou Z, Vecino JLG, Wadsworth S, Romero J, Krogdahl Å, et al. Effect of dietary components on the gut microbiota of aquatic animals. A neverending story? Aquacult Nutr 2016;22(2):219–82.
- [11] Liu H, Guo X, Gooneratne R, Lai R, Zeng C, Zhan F, et al. The gut microbiome and degradation enzyme activity of wild freshwater fishes influenced by their trophic levels. Sci Rep 2016;6:24340.
- [12] Pratte ZA, Besson M, Hollman RD, Stewart FJ, McBain AJ. The gills of reef fish support a distinct microbiome influenced by host-specific factors. Appl Environ Microbiol 2018:84(9):e00063–118.
- [13] Chiarello M, Auguet JC, Bettarel Y, Bouvier C, Claverie T, Graham NAJ, et al. Skin microbiome of coral reef fish is highly variable and driven by host phylogeny and diet. Microbiome 2018;6:147.
- [14] Legrand TPRA, Catalano SR, Wos-Oxley ML, Stephens F, Landos M, Bansemer MS, et al. The inner workings of the outer surface: skin and gill microbiota as indicators of changing gut health in yellowtail kingfish. Front Microbiol 2018;8:2664.
- [15] Roeselers G, Mittge EK, Stephens WZ, Parichy DM, Cavanaugh CM, Guillemin K, et al. Evidence for a core gut microbiota in the zebrafish. ISME J 2011;5 (10):1595–608.
- [16] Kokou F, Sasson G, Friedman J, Eyal S, Ovadia O, Harpaz S, et al. Core gut microbial communities are maintained by beneficial interactions and strain variability in fish. Nat Microbiol 2019:4(12):2456–65.
- [17] Vestrum RI, Attramadal KJK, Vadstein O, Gundersen MS, Bakke I. Bacterial community assembly in Atlantic cod larvae (*Gadus morhua*): contributions of ecological processes and metacommunity structure. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2020;96(9):fiaa163.
- [18] Li T, Long M, Gatesoupe FJ, Zhang Q, Li A, Gong X. Comparative analysis of the intestinal bacterial communities in different species of carp by pyrosequencing. Microb Ecol 2015;69(1):25–36.
- [19] Daly K, Kelly J, Moran AW, Bristow R, Young IS, Cossins AR, et al. Host selectively contributes to shaping intestinal microbiota of carnivorous and omnivorous fish. J Gen Appl Microbiol 2019;65(3):129–36.
- [20] Stephens WZ, Burns AR, Stagaman K, Wong S, Rawls JF, Guillemin K, et al. The composition of the zebrafish intestinal microbial community varies across development. ISME J 2016;10(3):644–54.
- [21] Li X, Zhou L, Yu Y, Ni J, Xu W, Yan Q. Composition of gut microbiota in the gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*) varies with host development. Microb Ecol 2017;74(1):239–49.
- [22] Bolte LA, Vich Vila A, Imhann F, Collij V, Gacesa R, Peters V, et al. Long-term dietary patterns are associated with pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory features of the gut microbiome. Gut 2021;70(7):1287–98.

[23] Walburn JW, Wemheuer B, Thomas T, Copeland E, O'Connor W, Booth M, et al. Diet and diet-associated bacteria shape early microbiome development in yellowtail kingfish (Seriola lalandi). Microb Biotechnol 2019;12(2):275–88.

- [24] Rimoldi S, Terova G, Ascione C, Giannico R, Brambilla F. Next generation sequencing for gut microbiome characterization in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed animal by-product meals as an alternative to fishmeal protein sources. PLoS One 2018;13(3):e0193652.
- [25] Ye L, Amberg J, Chapman D, Gaikowski M, Liu WT. Fish gut microbiota analysis differentiates physiology and behavior of invasive Asian carp and indigenous American fish. ISME J 2014;8(3):541–51.
- [26] Li Y, Gajardo K, Jaramillo-Torres A, Kortner TM, Krogdahl Å. Consistent changes in the intestinal microbiota of Atlantic salmon fed insect meal diets. Anim Microbiome 2022;4(1):8.
- [27] Gaudioso G, Marzorati G, Faccenda F, Weil T, Lunelli F, Cardinaletti G, et al. Processed animal proteins from insect and poultry by-products in a fish meal-free diet for rainbow trout: impact on intestinal microbiota and inflammatory markers. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22(11):5454.
- [28] Guo H, Chen C, Yan X, Li Y, Wen X, You C, et al. Effects of different dietary oil sources on growth performance, antioxidant capacity and lipid deposition of juvenile golden pompano *Trachinotus ovatus*. Aquaculture 2021;530:735923.
- [29] Arias-Jayo N, Abecia L, Lavín JL, Tueros I, Arranz S, Ramírez-García A, et al. Host-microbiome interactions in response to a high-saturated fat diet and fish-oil supplementation in zebrafish adult. J Funct Foods 2019;60:103416.
- [30] Liu X, Shi H, He Q, Lin F, Wang Q, Xiao S, et al. Effect of starvation and refeeding on growth, gut microbiota and non-specific immunity in hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* \$\times E\$. lanceolatus\$\(\frac{1}{2}\)\)). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2020;97:182–93.
- [31] Tran NT, Xiong F, Hao Y, Zhang J, Wu S, Wang G. Starvation influences the microbiota assembly and expression of immunity-related genes in the intestine of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*). Aquaculture 2018;489:121–9.
- [32] Mekuchi M, Asakura T, Sakata K, Yamaguchi T, Teruya K, Kikuchi J. Intestinal microbiota composition is altered according to nutritional biorhythms in the leopard coral grouper (*Plectropomus leopardus*). PLoS One 2018;13(6): e0197256
- [33] Talwar C, Nagar S, Lal R, Negi RK. Fish gut microbiome: current approaches and future perspectives. Indian | Microbiol 2018;58(4):397–414.
- [34] Zeng A, Tan K, Gong P, Lei P, Guo Z, Wang S, et al. Correlation of microbiota in the gut of fish species and water. 3 Biotech 2020;10(11):472.
- [35] Sylvain FE, Cheaib B, Llewellyn M, Correia TG, Fagundes DB, Val AL, et al. pH drop impacts differentially skin and gut microbiota of the Amazonian fish tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*). Sci Rep 2016;6:32032.
- [36] Vestrum RI, Attramadal KJK, Winge P, Li K, Olsen Y, Bones AM, et al. Rearing water treatment induces microbial selection influencing the microbiota and pathogen associated transcripts of Cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae. Front Microbiol 2018;9:851.
- [37] Bi S, Lai H, Guo D, Liu X, Wang G, Chen X, et al. The characteristics of intestinal bacterial community in three omnivorous fishes and their interaction with microbiota from habitats. Microorganisms 2021;9(10):2125.
- [38] Horlick J, Booth MA, Tetu SG. Alternative dietary protein and water temperature influence the skin and gut microbial communities of yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*). Peerl 2020;8:e8705.
- [39] Fossmark RO, Attramadal KJK, Nordøy K, Østerhus SW, Vadstein O. A comparison of two seawater adaptation strategies for Atlantic salmon postsmolt (Salmo salar) grown in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS): nitrification, water and gut microbiota, and performance of fish. Aquaculture 2021;532:735973.
- [40] Hieu DQ, Hang BTB, Lokesh J, Garigliany MM, Huong DTT, Yen DT, et al. Salinity significantly affects intestinal microbiota and gene expression in striped catfish juveniles. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2022;106(8):3245–64.
- [41] Lai KP, Lin X, Tam N, Ho JCH, Wong MKS, Gu J, et al. Osmotic stress induces gut microbiota community shift in fish. Environ Microbiol 2020;22 (9):3784–802.
- [42] Zhou C, Yang S, Ka W, Gao P, Li Y, Long R, et al. Association of gut microbiota with metabolism in rainbow trout under acute heat stress. Front Microbiol 2022;13:846336.
- [43] Guerreiro I, Enes P, Rodiles A, Merrifield D, Oliva-Teles A. Effects of rearing temperature and dietary short-chain fructo-oligosaccharides supplementation on allochthonous gut microbiota, digestive enzymes activities and intestine health of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L.) juveniles. Aquacult Nutr 2016;22(3):631–42.
- [44] Lv H, Liu Y, Li H, Yin X, Wang P, Qu X, et al. Modulation of antioxidant enzymes, heat shock protein, and intestinal microbiota of large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) under acute cold stress. Front Mar Sci 2021;8:725899.
- [45] Kokou F, Sasson G, Nitzan T, Doron-Faigenboim A, Harpaz S, Cnaani A, et al. Host genetic selection for cold tolerance shapes microbiome composition and modulates its response to temperature. eLife 2018;7:e36398.
- [46] Rudi K, Angell IL, Pope PB, Vik JO, Sandve SR, Snipen L. Stable core gut microbiota across the freshwater-to-saltwater transition for farmed Atlantic salmon. Appl Environ Microb 2018;84(2):e01974–e2017.
- [47] Bojarski B, Kot B, Witeska M. Antibacterials in aquatic environment and their toxicity to fish. Pharmaceuticals 2020;13(8):188.
- [48] Assefa A, Abunna F. Maintenance of fish health in aquaculture: review of epidemiological approaches for prevention and control of infectious disease of fish. Vet Med Int 2018;2018:5432497.

[49] Sáenz JS, Marques TV, Barone RSC, Cyrino JEP, Kublik S, Nesme J, et al. Oral administration of antibiotics increased the potential mobility of bacterial resistance genes in the gut of the fish Piaractus mesopotamicus. Microbiome 2019:7(1):24.

- [50] Legrand TPRA, Catalano SR, Wos-Oxley ML, Wynne JW, Weyrich LS, Oxley APA. Antibiotic-induced alterations and repopulation dynamics of yellowtail kingfish microbiota. Anim Microbiome 2020;2(1):26.
- [51] Rosado D, Pérez-Losada M, Severino R, Xavier R. Monitoring infection and antibiotic treatment in the skin microbiota of farmed European seabass (Dicentrarchus Labrax) fingerlings. Microb Ecol 2022;83(3):789-97.
- [52] Rosado D, Xavier R, Severino R, Tavares F, Cable J, Pérez-Losada M. Effects of disease, antibiotic treatment and recovery trajectory on the microbiome of farmed seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Sci Rep-UK 2019;9(1):18946.
- [53] Gupta S, Fernandes J, Kiron V. Antibiotic-induced perturbations are manifested in the dominant intestinal bacterial phyla of Atlantic salmon. Microorganisms 2019;7(8):233.
- [54] Kim A, Kim N, Roh HJ, Chun W, Ho DT, Lee Y, et al. Administration of antibiotics can cause dysbiosis in fish gut. Aquaculture 2019;512:734330.
- [55] Schmidt V, Gomez-Chiarri M, Roy C, Smith K, Amaral-Zettler L. Subtle microbiome manipulation using probiotics reduces antibiotic-associated mortality in fish. mSystems 2017;2(6):e00133-e217.
- [56] Wang E, Yuan Z, Wang K, Gao D, Liu Z, Liles MR. Consumption of florfenicolmedicated feed alters the composition of the channel catfish intestinal microbiota including enriching the relative abundance of opportunistic pathogens. Aquaculture 2019;501:111-8.
- [57] Patil HJ, Benet-Perelberg A, Naor A, Smirnov M, Ofek T, Nasser A, et al. Evidence of increased antibiotic resistance in phylogenetically-diverse Aeromonas isolates from semi-intensive fish ponds treated with antibiotics. Front Microbiol 2016;7:1875.
- [58] Sun B, Yang H, He W, Tian D, Kou H, Wu K, et al. A grass carp model with an antibiotic-disrupted intestinal microbiota. Aquaculture 2021;541:736790.
- Bozzi D, Rasmussen JA, Carøe C, Sveier H, Nordøy K, Gilbert MTP, et al. Salmon gut microbiota correlates with disease infection status: potential for monitoring health in farmed animals. Anim Microbiome 2021;3(1):30.
- [60] De La Torre Canny SG, Mueller O, Craciunescu CV, Blumberg B, Rawls JF. Tributyltin exposure leads to increased adiposity and reduced abundance of leptogenic bacteria in the zebrafish intestine; 2021.
- Butt RL, Volkoff H. Gut microbiota and energy homeostasis in fish. Front Endocrinol 2019;10:9.
- [62] Liu Y, Li X, Li J, Chen W. The gut microbiome composition and degradation enzymes activity of black Amur bream (Megalobrama terminalis) in response to breeding migratory behavior. Ecol Evol 2021;11(10):5150-63.
- [63] Sheng Y, Ren H, Limbu SM, Sun Y, Qiao F, Zhai W, et al. The presence or absence of intestinal microbiota affects lipid deposition and related genes expression in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Front Microbiol 2018;9:1124.
- [64] Semova I, Carten JD, Stombaugh J, Mackey LC, Knight R, Farber SA, et al. Microbiota regulate intestinal absorption and metabolism of fatty acids in the zebrafish. Cell Host Microbe 2012;12(3):277–88.
- Guo X, Ran C, Zhang Z, He S, Jin M, Zhou Z. The growth-promoting effect of dietary nucleotides in fish is associated with an intestinal microbiotamediated reduction in energy expenditure. J Nutr 2017;147(5):781-8.
- [66] Zhang ML, Li M, Sheng Y, Tan F, Chen L, Cann I, et al. Citrobacter species increase energy harvest by modulating intestinal microbiota in fish: nondominant species play important functions. mSystems 2020;5(3): e00303-20.
- [67] Wen J, Mercado GP, Volland A, Doden HL, Lickwar CR, Crooks T, et al. Fxr signaling and microbial metabolism of bile salts in the zebrafish intestine. Sci Adv 2021;7(30):eabg1371.
- [68] Pardesi B, Roberton AM, Lee KC, Angert ER, Rosendale DI, Boycheva S, et al. Distinct microbiota composition and fermentation products indicate functional compartmentalization in the hindgut of a marine herbivorous fish. Mol Ecol 2022;31(8):2494-509.
- [69] Hao YT, Wu SG, Jakovlić I, Zou H, Li WX, Wang GT. Impacts of diet on hindgut microbiota and short-chain fatty acids in grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus). Aquacult Res 2017;48(11):5595–605.
- [70] Petit J, de Bruijn I, Goldman MRG, van den Brink E, Pellikaan WF, Forlenza M, et al.  $\beta$ -glucan-induced immuno-modulation: a role for the intestinal microbiota and short-chain fatty acids in common carp. Front Immunol 2022:12:761820
- [71] Xu R, Li M, Wang T, Zhao Y, Shan C, Qiao F, et al. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens ameliorates high-carbohydrate diet-induced metabolic phenotypes by restoration of intestinal acetate-producing bacteria in Nile tilapia. Br J Nutr 2022;127(5):653-65.
- [72] Bergamaschi M, Tiezzi F, Howard J, Huang YJ, Gray KA, Schillebeeckx C, et al. Gut microbiome composition differences among breeds impact feed efficiency in swine. Microbiome 2020;8(1):110.
- Singh KM, Shah TM, Reddy B, Deshpande S, Rank DN, Joshi CG. Taxonomic and gene-centric metagenomics of the fecal microbiome of low and high feed conversion ratio (FCR) broilers. J Appl Genet 2014;55(1):145–54.
- [74] Adeshina I, Abubakar MI, Ajala BE. Dietary supplementation with Lactobacillus acidophilus enhanced the growth, gut morphometry, antioxidant capacity, and the immune response in juveniles of the common carp. Cyprinus carpio. Fish Physiol Biochem 2020;46(4):1375-85.
- [75] Amoah K, Huang Q, Tan B, Zhang S, Chi S, Yang Q, et al. Dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus coagulans* ATCC 7050, improves the growth performance, intestinal morphology, microflora, immune response,

and disease confrontation of Pacific white shrimp, Litopenaeus vannamei. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2019:87:796-808.

- [76] Bunnoy A, Na-Nakorn U, Srisapoome P. Probiotic effects of a novel strain, Acinetobacter KU011TH, on the growth performance, immune responses, and resistance against Aeromonas hydrophila of bighead catfish (Clarias macrocephalus Günther, 1864). Microorganisms 2019;7(12):613.
- [77] Dvergedal H, Sandve SR, Angell IL, Klemetsdal G, Rudi K. Association of gut microbiota with metabolism in juvenile Atlantic salmon. Microbiome 2020;8 1):160.
- [78] Kanther M, Sun X, Mühlbauer M, Mackey LC, Flynn EJ, Bagnat M, et al. Microbial colonization induces dynamic temporal and spatial patterns of NFκB activation in the zebrafish digestive tract. Gastroenterology 2011;141 (1):197-207.
- [79] Koch BEV, Yang S, Lamers G, Stougaard J, Spaink HP. Intestinal microbiome adjusts the innate immune setpoint during colonization through negative regulation of MyD88. Nat Commun 2018;9(1):4099.
- [80] Rolig AS, Sweeney EG, Kaye LE, DeSantis MD, Perkins A, Banse AV, et al. A bacterial immunomodulatory protein with lipocalin-like domains facilitates host-bacteria mutualism in larval zebrafish. eLife 2018;7:e37172.
- [81] Shan C, Li M, Liu Z, Xu R, Qiao F, Du ZY, et al. Pediococcus pentosaceus enhances host resistance against pathogen by increasing IL-1β production: understanding probiotic effectiveness and administration duration. Front Immunol 2021;12:766401.
- [82] Phelps D, Brinkman NE, Keely SP, Anneken EM, Catron TR, Betancourt D, et al. Microbial colonization is required for normal neurobehavioral development in zebrafish. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):11244.
- [83] Casadei E, Tacchi L, Lickwar CR, Espenschied ST, Davison JM, Muñoz P, et al. Commensal bacteria regulate gene expression and differentiation in vertebrate olfactory systems through transcription factor rest. Chem Senses 2019;44(8):615-30.
- [84] Gioacchini G, Ciani E, Pessina A, Cecchini C, Silvi S, Rodiles A, et al. Effects of lactogen 13, a new probiotic preparation, on gut microbiota and endocrine signals controlling growth and appetite of Oreochromis niloticus juveniles. Microb Ecol 2018;76(4):1063-74.
- [85] Earley AM, Graves CL, Shiau CE. Critical role for a subset of intestinal macrophages in shaping gut microbiota in adult zebrafish. Cell Rep 2018;25
- [86] Nutsch KM, Hsieh CS. T cell tolerance and immunity to commensal bacteria. Curr Opin Immunol 2012;24(4):385–91.
- [87] Okamura Y, Morimoto N, Ikeda D, Mizusawa N, Watabe S, Miyanishi H, et al. Interleukin-17A/F1 deficiency reduces antimicrobial gene expression and contributes to microbiome alterations in intestines of Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes). Front Immunol 2020;11:425.
- [88] Xu Z, Takizawa F, Casadei E, Shibasaki Y, Ding Y, Sauters TJC, et al. Specialization of mucosal immunoglobulins in pathogen control and microbiota homeostasis occurred early in vertebrate evolution. Sci Immunol 2020;5(44):eaay3254.
- [89] Perdiguero P, Martín-Martín A, Benedicenti O, Díaz-Rosales P, Morel E, Muñoz-Atienza E, et al. Teleost IgD<sup>+</sup>IgM-B cells mount clonally expanded and mildly mutated intestinal IgD responses in the absence of lymphoid follicles. Cell Rep 2019;29(13):4223-4235.e5.
- [90] Robinson CD, Sweeney EG, Ngo J, Ma E, Perkins A, Smith TJ, et al. Host-emitted amino acid cues regulate bacterial chemokinesis to enhance colonization. Cell Host Microbe 2021;29(8):1221-1234.e8.
- [91] Gong L, He H, Li D, Cao L, Khan TA, Li Y, et al. A new isolate of Pediococcus pentosaceus (SL001) with antibacterial activity against fish pathogens and potency in facilitating the immunity and growth performance of grass carps. Front Microbiol 2019;10:1384.
- [92] Zhou P, Chen W, Zhu Z, Zhou K, Luo S, Hu S, et al. Comparative study of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens X030 on the intestinal flora and antibacterial activity against Aeromonas of grass carp. Front Cell Infect Mi 2022;12:815436.
- [93] Pham TAN, Lawley TD. Emerging insights on intestinal dysbiosis during bacterial infections. Curr Opin Microbiol 2014;17:67–74.
  [94] Sequeiros C, Garcés ME, Vallejo M, Marguet ER, Olivera NL. Potential aquaculture probiont *Lactococcus lactis* TW34 produces nisin Z and inhibits. the fish pathogen Lactococcus garvieae. Arch Microbiol 2015;197(3):449-58.
- [95] Schubiger CB, Orfe LH, Sudheesh PS, Cain KD, Shah DH, Call DR. Entericidin is required for a probiotic treatment (Enterobacter sp. strain C6-6) to protect trout from cold-water disease challenge. Appl Environ Microbiol 2015;81 (2):658-65.
- [96] Smith P, Davey S. Evidence for the eompetitive exclusion of *Aeromonas salmonicida* from fish with stress-inducible furunculosis by a fluorescent pseudomonad. J Fish Dis 1993;16(5):521-4.
- [97] Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Waters JL, Clark AG, Ley RE. Cross-species comparisons of host genetic associations with the microbiome. Science 2016;352(6285):532-5.
- [98] Stressmann FA, Bernal-Bayard J, Perez-Pascual D, Audrain B, Rendueles O, Briolat V, et al. Mining zebrafish microbiota reveals key community-level resistance against fish pathogen infection. ISME J 2021;15(3):702–19.
- [99] Pérez-Pascual D, Vendrell-Fernández S, Audrain B, Bernal-Bayard J, Patiño-Navarrete R, Petit V, et al. Gnotobiotic rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) model reveals endogenous bacteria that protect against Flavobacterium columnare infection. PLoS Pathog 2021;17(1):e1009302.
- [100] Wang C, Sun G, Li S, Li X, Liu Y. Intestinal microbiota of healthy and unhealthy Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L. in a recirculating aquaculture system. Chin J Oceanology Limnol 2018;36(2):414-26.

- [101] Dos Santos Silva BR, Derami MS, Paixão DA, Persinoti GF, da Silveira WD, Maluta RP. Comparison between the intestinal microbiome of healthy fish and fish experimentally infected with *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Aquacult Res 2020:51(8):3412–20.
- [102] Zhang Q, Li H, Wu W, Zhang M, Guo J, Deng X, et al. The response of microbiota community to *Streptococcus agalactiae* infection in zebrafish intestine. Front Microbiol 2019;10:2848.
- [103] Zhou L, Wei J, Lin K, Gan L, Wang J, Sun J, et al. Intestinal microbial profiling of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) challenged with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Aquaculture 2020;524:735292.
- [104] Llewellyn MS, Leadbeater S, Garcia C, Sylvain FE, Custodio M, Ang KP, et al. Parasitism perturbs the mucosal microbiome of Atlantic salmon. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):43465.
- [105] Vasemägi A, Visse M, Kisand V. Effect of environmental factors and anemerging parasitic disease on gut microbiome of wild salmonid fish. MSphere 2017;2(6):e00418-517.
- [106] Nadal AL, Ikeda-Ohtsubo W, Sipkema D, Peggs D, McGurk C, Forlenza M, et al. Feed, microbiota, and gut immunity: using the zebrafish model to understand fish health. Front Immunol 2020;11:114.
- [107] Elks PM, van Eeden FJ, Dixon G, Wang X, Reyes-Aldasoro CC, Ingham PW, et al. Activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (Hif-1α) delays inflammation resolution by reducing neutrophil apoptosis and reverse migration in a zebrafish inflammation model. Blood 2011;118(3):712-22.
- [108] Zang L, Maddison LA, Chen W. Zebrafish as a model for obesity and diabetes. Front Cell Dev Biol 2018;6:91.
- [109] Zang L, Shimada Y, Nishimura N. Development of a novel zebrafish model for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):1461.
- [110] Landgraf K, Schuster S, Meusel A, Garten A, Riemer T, Schleinitz D, et al. Short-term overfeeding of zebrafish with normal or high-fat diet as a model for the development of metabolically healthy versus unhealthy obesity. BMC Physiol 2017;17(1):4
- [111] Situmorang ML, Dierckens K, Mlingi FT, Van Delsen B, Bossier P. Development of a bacterial challenge test for gnotobiotic Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* larvae. Dis Aquat Organ 2014;109(1):23–33.
- [112] Dierckens K, Rekecki A, Laureau S, Sorgeloos P, Boon N, Van den Broeck W, et al. Development of a bacterial challenge test for gnotobiotic sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. Environ Microbiol 2009;11(2):526–33.
- [113] Liu Z, Liu W, Ran C, Hu J, Zhou Z. Abrupt suspension of probiotics administration may increase host pathogen susceptibility by inducing gut dysbiosis. Sci Rep 2016;6(1):23214.
- [114] He S, Ran C, Qin C, Li S, Zhang H, de Vos WM, et al. Anti-infective effect of adhesive probiotic *Lactobacillus* in fish is correlated with their spatial distribution in the intestinal tissue. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):13195.
- [115] Xie MX, Zhou W, Xie Y, Li Y, Zhang Z, Yang YL, et al. Effects of Cetobacterium somerae fermentation product on gut and liver health of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) fed diet supplemented with ultra-micro ground mixed plant proteins. Aquaculture 2021;543:736943.
- [116] Xie M, Xie Y, Li Y, Zhou W, Zhang Z, Yang Y, et al. Stabilized fermentation product of *Cetobacterium somerae* improves gut and liver health and antiviral immunity of zebrafish. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2022;120:56–66.

- [117] Zhou W, Xie M, Xie Y, Liang H, Li M, Ran C, et al. Effect of dietary supplementation of Cetobacterium somerae XMX-1 fermentation product on gut and liver health and resistance against bacterial infection of the genetically improved farmed tilapia (GIFT, Oreochromis niloticus). Fish Shellfish Immunol 2022;124:332–42.
- [118] Kong Y, Li M, Chu G, Liu H, Shan X, Wang G, et al. The positive effects of single or conjoint administration of lactic acid bacteria on Channa argus: digestive enzyme activity, antioxidant capacity, intestinal microbiota and morphology. Aquaculture 2021;531:735852.
- [119] Bindels LB, Delzenne NM, Cani PD, Walter J. Towards a more comprehensive concept for prebiotics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;12(5):303–10.
- [120] Gibson GR, Hutkins R, Sanders ME, Prescott SL, Reimer RA, Salminen SJ, et al. Expert consensus document: the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;14(8):491–502.
- [121] Amenyogbe E, Chen G, Wang Z, Huang J, Huang B, Li H. The exploitation of probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics in aquaculture: present study, limitations and future directions: a review. Aquacult Int 2020;28 (3):1017–41.
- [122] Yao W, Li X, Zhang C, Wang J, Cai Y, Leng X. Effects of dietary synbiotics supplementation methods on growth, intestinal health, non-specific immunity and disease resistance of Pacific white shrimp. *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2021;112:46–55.
- [123] Chen G, Yin B, Liu H, Tan B, Dong X, Yang Q, et al. Supplementing chitosan oligosaccharide positively affects hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus*? × *E. lanceolatus* 3) fed dietary fish meal replacement with cottonseed protein concentrate: effects on growth, gut microbiota, antioxidant function and immune response. Front Mar Sci 2021;8:707627.
- [124] Rimoldi S, Torrecillas S, Montero D, Gini E, Makol A, Valdenegro VV, et al. Assessment of dietary supplementation with galactomannan oligosaccharides and phytogenics on gut microbiota of European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) fed low fishmeal and fish oil based diet. PLoS One 2020;15(4):e0231494.
- [125] Zhao W, Yuan T, Piva C, Spinard EJ, Schuttert CW, Rowley DC, et al. The probiotic bacterium *Phaeobacter inhibens* down regulates virulence factor transcription in the shellfish pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus* by N-Acyl homoserine lactone production. Appl Environ Microbiol 2019;85(2): e01545–e1618.
- [126] Dong W, Cai Y, Xu Z, Fu B, Chen Q, Cui Y, et al. Heterologous expression of AHL lactonase AiiK by *Lactobacillus casei* MCJ∆1 with great quorum quenching ability against *Aeromonas hydrophila* AH-1 and AH-4. Microb Cell Fact 2020;19(1):191.
- [127] Chen B, Peng M, Tong W, Zhang Q, Song Z. The quorum quenching bacterium *Bacillus licheniformis* T-1 protects zebrafish against *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 2020;12(1):160–71.
- [128] Zhang Z, Ran C, Ding Q, Liu H, Xie M, Yang Y, et al. Ability of prebiotic polysaccharides to activate a HIF1α-antimicrobial peptide axis determines liver injury risk in zebrafish. Commun Biol 2019;2(1):274.
- [129] Vatsos IN. Standardizing the microbiota of fish used in research. Lab Anim 2017;51(4):353–64.